Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/842,763	ARAKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barry J. O'Brien	2183
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Barry J. O'Brien.	(3)	
(2) <u>Scott Wakeman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 April 2004	Time: <u>2:00pm EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 9-11		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt record of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicantle Desses static	
(Applican)	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the Applicant regarding newly added claims 9-11. The Examiner felt that these claims were identical to the originally filed claims 1-3, which were amended to be claims 2-3, and have been indicated as allowable. The Examiner proposed canceling these claims, which he thought had been added erroneously, so that the application could proceed to allowance. The Applicant agreed that newly filed claims 10 and 11 were identical to the originally filed claims 2 and 3. However, the Applicant pointed out that there is a difference between the originally filed claim 1 and the original claim 9, specifically that claim 9 outputs "to an external host", whereas original claim 1 simply recited outputting "externally". The Applicant further noted that he would not be willing to cancel these claims at this time, and that the Examiner would have to provide a rejection of the claims, which would be considered before taking further action. The Examiner then stated he would send out such an action.